

#### Resolving the Geometry of the Innermost Relativistic Jets in AGN Using Core Shift Measurements

**Juan-Carlos Algaba** 

M. Nakamura, K. Asada & S. S. Lee

APRIM 2017, July 3<sup>rd</sup> - 7<sup>th</sup>, Taipei



- Jets have typically been considered to have a conical geometry (e.g. Blandford&Znajek77, Blandford&Payne82,...)
- BUT:
  - Inner parabolic, outer conical jet models match SED (Ghisellini85, Potter&Cotter13)
  - Indications of more active collimation at higher frequencies (Pushkarev+12)



- Jets have typicall (e.g. Blandford&z
- BUT:
  - Indications of jet geometry transitions



- Jets have typically been considered to have a conical geometry (e.g. Blandford&Znajek77, Blandford&Payne82,...)
- BUT:
  - Indications of jet geometry transitions

M87

NGC 6251



- Jets have typically been considered to have a conical geometry (e.g. Blandford&Znajek77, Blandford&Payne82,...)
- BUT:
  - Indications of jet geometry transitions
- QUESTION:
  - Are these isolated particular cases?
  - Is that an AGN paradigm? (just unresolved for sources further away)
- Possibility to answer using the properties of the core

- Dependence of the τ=1 surface with freq. (Blandford & Konigl '79, Konigl'81)
- Core position moves with frequency

$$- r_{core}(\tau_{v,ssa}=1) \propto v^{-\alpha}$$



- Dependence of the τ=1 surface with freq. (Blandford & Konigl '79, Konigl'81)
- Core position moves with frequency

$$- r_{core}(\tau_{v,ssa} = 1) \propto v^{-\alpha}$$

- If the core is the throat of the divergent jet (Blandford&Konigl79)...
  - Properties of the core = properties of the upstream jet at rcore.
  - We can probe physical properties of the upstream unresolved jet!



- Possibility to answer using the properties of the core
- Example: M87



- Possibility to answer using the properties of the core
- Example: M87



- Plethora of data in the recent years
  - Lobanov98 (7 sources)
  - Sokolovsky+11 (20 sources)
  - Rioja+05,Jung+15,Algaba11,O'Sullivan+12,...
  - Important sources (Hada+11, Cho+17,...)
  - Pushkarev+12 MOJAVE IX (163 sources)
- Can start an statistical analysis





- Methodology
  - Obtaining core shift values
  - Obtaining core sizes at different frequencies
- Caveats
  - Small number of data
  - Core-jet blending
  - Time variability
- Advantages
  - Access regions otherwise unresolved
  - Large sample, statistical analysis

TABLE 1 VLBI SURVEYS USED IN THIS WORK.

Freq. (GHz)	Instrument	Epoch	References <sup>1</sup>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.6	$11-16 \times VLBI^2$	1990-1991	P95,TH95
2.3	VLBA	1998-2003	P12
5.0	VSOP	1997-2002	S04,D08
8.6	VLBA	1998-2003	P12
15	VLBA	1994-2003	L05
22	$6 \times VLBI^2$	1993	M96
86	GMVA	2001-2002	L08

Algaba+16

- Results
  - Obtained core size & core distance
  - for at least 4 freqs in 56 objects
  - Fitted for  $r \sim R^{\varepsilon}$ .
    - ε=1 conical
    - ε=0.5 parabolic
    - ε=0 cylindrical
  - Median <ε>=0.85
- In agreement with Pushkarev+12
- Jet collimation break, quasi-parabolic to conical transition?



Algaba+16



- Global view in terms of rg.
- Jet starts neither conical nor parabolical
- Intermediate semi-parabolic
- If jet break exists, at ~10<sup>5-6</sup> r<sub>g</sub>
  - Mixture of geometries?
  - Proper fit unreliable
- Consistent with GRMHD numerical calculations



- Global view in terms of rg.
  - Half opening angle starts very large near SMBH
  - Conical expansion is unlikely near SGI
  - Assuming *Γθ<sub>j</sub>*~0.2 (Clausen-Brown+13) we get *Γ* consistent with observations



- Global view in terms of *r*<sub>g</sub>.
  - Increase of Tb?



## Conclusions

- Upstream unresolved jet geometry can be studied by probing AGN cores plus core shift information
- Inner jet shape is semi-parabolic (in contrast with conical on deca-pc scales)
- Indications of jet geometry break, SGI?
- Conical jet paradigm in AGN to be re-examined

#### Thanks