Infrared properties of Hickson Compact Groups 56 and 92 based on AKARI/IRC spectroscopy and near- to far-infrared photometric observations

> Ayato Ikeuchi¹; Itsuki Sakon¹; Takashi Onaka¹; Frederic Galliano²; Ronin Wu³ ¹Department of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo; ²CEA, Saclay; ³LERMA, Observatorie de Paris

Introduction (HCGs)

-HCGs (Hickson Compact Groups)
>A catalog of compact groups.
Selection is based on galaxy population, isolation and compactness (Hickson 1982).
>Providing an ideal opportunity to investigate the effects of interactions on the galactic environments for the study of galaxy evolution.

>HCGs contain various kind of galaxies.

(normal star-forming galaxies, ellipticals, starbursts, LINERs, Seyferts, LIRGs, MOHEGs, etc.)



个HCG 79 (Seyfert's Sextet) Credit: HST/NASA/ESA

Motivation to investigate



↑Credit: CANDELS Collaboration



-Interaction effects to star
formation activity of member
galaxies of HCGs
->induced/suppressed
->The nature of the "green
valley" object

-Interstellar dust traced by infrared observations
->PAH fraction, dust mass, IR luminosity, etc.

↑Credit: Galliano, F.

Our targets (HCG 56 and 92)



↑Blue 3.2 μm; Green 11 μm; Red 24 μm z~0.027 (~120 Mpc) Morphology; Sc (56a), SB0 (56b), S0 (56c), S0 (56d), S0 (56e) HCG 56b is a Seyfert 1 galaxy.

Bridge structure exists between 56b and 56c in optical wavelengths.



↑Blue 3.2 μm; Green 7 μm; Red 11 μm
Alias; Stephan's Quintet (SQ)
z~0.0225 (60-80 Mpc) w/o HCG 92a.
HCG 92c is a Seyfert 2 galaxy.
SQ-A and SQ-B are tidal debris (dwarf galaxy candidate) found by ISO/ISOCAM.

Our datasets



-Spectra of a whole galaxy extracted from AKARI/IRC slit-less spectroscopy + SED constructed from wide range photometry in infrared >Galaxy-scale dust properties with precision (q_{PAH}, M_{dust}, <U>, etc.)

->Understanding the ongoing interaction

Results of photometry and spectroscopy (HCG 56a)



-Presence of UIR bands features at 6.2, 7.7, 8.6 and 11.3 μm.
-This galaxy looks like a normal starforming galaxy.



Results of photometry and spectroscopy (HCG 56b)



-Red continuum with no clear presence of UIR band features

>Typical features of AGN host galaxies -Red continuum with a lack of silicate absorption at 9.7µm

>Consistent with the classification of
Seyfert 1 (face on)





SED fitting technique (Non-uniformly illuminated dust mixture)

$dM_{dust} \propto U^{-\alpha} dU$ between Umin and Umax (Dale et al., 2001)

-Assuming that the dust is exposed to the above distribution of starlight intensities (non-uniformly illuminated dust mixture).

->This compensates our ignorance of the actual topology of the ISM and the distribution of stars.

-We fitted the SEDs using two different models (Galliano et al. 2011 & Jones et al. 2013).

->We confirmed the **robustness** against what grain properties we assume.

-The search for best parameters is done by minimizing the χ^2 , using the Levenberg-Marquardt method (Markwardt 2009). We perform Monte-Carlo propagation of the uncertainties for all the parameters.

-An old stellar population template (PEGASE; Fioc & Rocca- Volmerange 1997) is added to SED in order to model the near-IR emission.

-For HCG 56b and 92c, we added AGN templates of Siebenmorgen et al. (2015) because these galaxies are AGN host galaxies.



↑The idea of non-uniformly illuminated dust mixture quoted from Galliano et al. (2011). -free parameters U_{min} , U_{max} , α, q_{PAH} , f_+ and $M_{★}$ -derived parameter <U>

Results of SED fitting (HCG 56a)



-The possibility of data artifact at PACS 160 μm.
-Normal disk galaxy (quite a cold SED with no clear emission in mid- infrared spectrum).
-Its PAH mass fraction (~8.20%) is roughly Galactic (~4.6%).

->Suggesting its metallicity is close to solar.

↑The SED models of HCG 56a.
left: The AC composition
(Galliano et al. 2011)
right: The THEMIS composition
(Jones et al. 2013)



-This is a known Seyfert 2 galaxy, and strong continuum in mid- IR also indicates the presence of AGN.
>We added AGN templates of Siebenmorgen et al. (2015) to fit.
->The luminosity fraction of AGN illuminated dust to the total infrared luminosity is ~57.7% (AC model).

↑The SED models of HCG 92c. left: The AC composition right: The THEMIS composition

Discussion (SFR)



-SFR_{MAGPHYS} are retived from Bitsakis et al. (2014).

 $\label{eq:sfr} \begin{array}{l} \text{-SFR}_{\text{FIR}} \text{ is calculated from following equation;} \\ \text{SFR}_{\text{FIR}} \left[M_{\odot} \, / \, yr \right] = \\ 2.54 \times 10^{-44} L_{8-1000 \mu m} \, [\text{erg/s}]. \ (1) \\ \text{-SFR}_{6.2 \mu m} \text{ is calculated from following} \\ \text{equation;} \\ \text{SFR}_{6.2 \mu m} \left[M_{\odot} \, / \, yr \right] = \underline{10}^{-40.06 + 0.96 \, \text{x} \log \text{L} \, 6.2 \mu m \, [\text{erg/s}]} \end{array}$

(2)
-Eq. (1) and (2) are lieved from Shipley et al. (accer of to publication in ApJ).
-For G G and 92e, the far-infrared up not by associated only with starburst of moment is used for the calculation of SFR_{FIR}.

>Huge discrepancy (an order of magnitude) between SFR_{FIR} and SFR_{6.2µm} at AGN host galaxies.

>>(1) the difference in dust populations/ properties, (2) UIR 6.2µm band weakness in AGN hosts and (3) the limitation of current dust models.

-Our results are **roughly consistent** with the SFR deriving from MAGPHYS (Bitsakis et al. 2014).

Discussion (SFR)



-Star Formation Rates derived from different methods.

-Our results are **roughly consistent** with the SFR deriving from MAGPHYS (Bitsakis et al. 2014) except for AGN hosts.

-Discrepancy (an order of magnitude) between SFR_{FIR} and SFR_{6.2µm} at AGN hosts.
 ->the difference in dust populations / properties, the possible destruction of PAHs
 << the limitation of current dust models.

Discussion (SFR)

-The SFRs obtained for the members of HCG 56 and HCG 92 show not significantly higher than the **Galactic SFR** (0.68–1.45 M_{\odot}/yr ,Robitaille et al. 2010) -We found that our samples are distributed below the SFR vs. M₊ relation obtained by Peng et al. (2010) and, therefore, less active star formation activities are found in our HCG galaxy samples.

>SFR_{FIR}^{AC} is the largest SFR in many cases of our analyses.
>The signs of induced star formation due to the galaxy interactions were NOT recognized in the cases of HCG 56 and HCG 92.



 \uparrow The SFR-M_{*} relationship for star-forming SDSS galaxies (z~0.2) (Peng et al. 2010) over-plotted with our samples. We adopted M_{*} of MAGPHYS (Bitsakis et al. 2014) and SFR_{FIR}^{AC} to draw this diagram.

Conclusion

We analyzed AKARI slit-less spectroscopic datasets and AKARI, Spitzer and Herschel photometric datasets of HCG 56 and 92.

We found that the star-formation rate (SFR) of each member galaxy of HCG 56 and 92 are distributed below the low-z star formation main sequence.

Therefore, we have concluded that the induced starformation activities due to the galaxy interaction have not been recognized and the star formation seems to be suppressed in the case of HCG 56 and 92.

Conclusion

-We found that the star-formation rate (SFR) of each member galaxy of HCG 56 and 92 distribute below the low-z star formation main sequence. Therefore, we have concluded that the induced starformation activities due to the galaxy interaction have not been recognized from our analyses.

-We analyzed AKARI slit-less spectroscopy datasets of HCG 56 and 92. Consequently, we provided the new mid-infrared spectra of HCG 56a, 92a, 92c and SQ-B.

-We made accurate SED models by collecting photometric datasets in a wide range and by taking account of the latest dust compositions and the AGN presence.

-These SEDs have enabled us to carry out more accurate estimate of star formation rate and to investigate the AGN activities of member galaxies.

Thank you for listening!!